

REMARKS

This amendment is responsive to the non-final Office Action mailed March 6, 2007. Claims 1 – 21 were pending, and the Office Action rejected all claims. Specifically, Claims 1 – 21 were rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting, in view of Claims 1 – 40 of U.S. Patent No. 7,016,935; Schoof (U.S. Patent No. 5,440,624) and Minnenman “A Confederation of Tools for Capturing and Accessing Collaborative Activity.” Further, Claims 1 – 21 were provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting, in view of Claims 1 – 37 of co-pending Application No. 10/042,485, Schoof and Minnenman.

In addition, Claim 1 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,440,624 (Schoof) and U.S. Patent No. 5,999,208 (McNerney). Claims 2 – 21 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schoof, McNerney, and Minnenman “A Confederation of Tools for Capturing and Accessing Collaborative Activity.”

In response, the Applicant has cancelled Claim 1, and amended independent Claims 2, 7, 12 and 17. Specifically, additional limitations have been added to the conference management information unit (step) which are not present in the prior art of record. The present invention is able to assemble, store and time stamp the various information presented at a conference by the various sources, creating a proceedings log file that may be used to effectively reproduce the conference. The storage of the specific data is not disclosed by the prior art of record.

In addition, the Applicant has submitted a terminal disclaimer in view of both U.S. Patent No. 7,016,935, and U.S. Application No. 10/042,485.

Therefore, the present claims are believed to now be in condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes that a telephone conference will expedite the prosecution of this case, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned attorney and the noted telephone number.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees (or credit any overpayment) associated with this communication and which may be required under 37 CFR §1.78 to Deposit Account No. 50-2603, referencing Attorney Docket No. 352738.00400.

A duplicate sheet is attached.

Respectfully submitted,

REED SMITH LLP

Dated: August 6, 2007

By: _____



Name: Doyle B. Johnson
Registration No. 39,240
Attorneys for Applicants

Two Embarcadero Center, Suite 2000
P.O. Box 7936
San Francisco, CA 94120-7936
Direct Dial (415) 659-5911
(415) 543-8700 Telephone
(415) 391-8269 Facsimile